**SELF-EVALUATION LIST**

**OF TRAINING**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Venue** |  |
| **Date** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| General organization of training |
| Grading  | **Very poor** | **Poor** | **Good** | **Very Good** | **Excellent** |
| Relevance of the topic  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Usefulness of the acquired knowledge | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating of the methodology of working with participants | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating of prepared training materials | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating organization | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating of working conditions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating interactivity in training | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating transferability of acquired knowledge | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rating of satisfaction of participation in training | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Comment:



|  |
| --- |
| General participant expectations |
| Grading  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Good** | **Very Good** | **Excellent** |
| Overall impression | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Scope of material | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Examples | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Practical exercises | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| My expectations were met | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Comment:

|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation of trainers |
| Grading  | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Good** | **Very Good** | **Excellent** |
| Quality of presentations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Quality of prepared material | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Enabling active participation of participants | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Comment:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your comments are important to us and provide us with valuable feedback on the quality of the new developed master curriculum.
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